

REMARKS

Pursuant to the present amendment, claims 1-3, 5-11, 14 and 16-29 have been canceled and new claims 38-50 have been added. Thus, claims 38-50 are pending in the present application. No new matter has been introduced by way of the present amendment. Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and arguments set forth herein.

In the Final Office Action, claims 1-3, 5-11, 14 and 16-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement and allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claim 29 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinct claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. It is believed that the claim amendments in the present response render the Examiner's § 112 rejections moot.

In the Office Action, claims 1, 3, 23-24 and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as allegedly being anticipated by Ryu (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0146888). Claims 2, 7, 14, 18 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Ryu in view of Tsukamoto (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0029074). Claims 5-6, 16-17 and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Ryu and Tsukamoto in view of Bergman (U.S. Patent No. 6,830,628). Claims 8-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Ryu in view of Gilton (U.S. Patent No. 6,437,417). Claims 19-20 and 26-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Ryu and Tsukamoto in view of Gilton. Claims 10-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Ryu in view of Wang (U.S. Patent No. 6,448,167). Claims 21 and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over Ryu and Tsukamoto in view of Wang. It is believed that the claim amendments in the present response

render the Examiner's § 102 and 103 rejections moot. Withdrawal of the Examiner's rejections is respectfully requested.

It is respectfully submitted that all pending claims are allowable over the art of record. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at (713) 934-4055 with any questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON
CUSTOMER NO. 23720

Date: October 30, 2006

/J. Mike Amerson/

J. Mike Amerson
Reg. No. 35,426
10333 Richmond, Suite 1100
Houston, Texas 77042
(713) 934-4055
(713) 934-7011 (facsimile)

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS